

# Cases Chocrón Chocrón, Díaz Peña, and Uzcátegui and Others v. Venezuela

## I. COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP ADDENDUM<sup>1</sup>

**November 20, 2015:** In reviewing the measures the State was required to take in the cases Chocrón Chocrón, Díaz Peña, and Uzcátegui and Others, the Court found that the State failed to submit a compliance report by the deadlines of August 19, 2012, July 20, 2013, and October 12, 2013, respectively.<sup>2</sup> In all three cases, the State was required to perform its obligations within one year from the time of the Judgment, but failed to do so.<sup>3</sup> From this information, the Court found that the State violated the obligation that “the State Parties to the Convention undertake to comply with the decision of the Court in any case in which they are parties.”<sup>4</sup> The Court additionally found the State’s lack of compliance as “contrary to the objective, purpose and spirit of the American Convention,” and that it prevented the human rights violations committed by the State from being remedied.<sup>5</sup>

The Court decided that the monitoring and compliance of the reparation measures in the judgments of the cases at hand must be kept open, and the State must provide the compliance reports as soon as possible.<sup>6</sup> The Court also decided that the State must present before the Court, no later than March 31, 2016, a report pertaining to the compliance measures adopted by the States in regards to the three cases.<sup>7</sup> The Court decided that the victims’ representatives, in each of

---

1. Brenna McGill, Author; Edgar Navarrete, Editor; Erin Gonzalez, Chief IACHR Editor; Cesare Romano, Faculty Advisor

2. Cases Chocrón Chocrón, Díaz Peña, and Uzcátegui and Others v. Venezuela, Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, Order of the Court, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. “Viewed” ¶¶2-4 (Nov. 20, 2015).

3. *Id.* “Considering that” ¶ 2.

4. *Id.* “Considering that” ¶ 4.

5. *Id.* “Considering that” ¶¶ 7-8.

6. *Id.* “Resolve” ¶¶ 3-4.

7. *Id.* “Resolve” ¶ 5.

the three cases, and the Commission, must present their observations on the aforementioned report above.<sup>8</sup>

---

8. Cases *Chocrón Chocrón, Díaz Peña, and Uzcaátegui and Others v. Venezuela*, Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, “Resolve” ¶ 6.