
Tarazona Arrieta et al. v. Peru

I. COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP ADDENDUM¹

November 14, 2017: The Court found that the State had fully complied with its obligation to reimburse the Legal Assistance Fund.² Additionally, the State added interests since the payment had been made after the ninety-day deadline.³

March 4, 2019: The Court found that the State had fully complied with its obligation to publish and disseminate the Court's official summary of the Judgment.⁴

However, the Court concluded that the State failed to comply with the reparation measure of reimbursing the costs and expenses to the victims.⁵ The State failed to comply with the order because the State Legal Defense Council had not properly identified the organ responsible for compliance with the reparation measure.⁶ Thus, the Court ordered the State to identify promptly the organ responsible for compliance with reimbursing the costs and expenses to the victims.⁷ In addition, the State must pay for any interest incurred as a result of the State's delay in complying with the measure.⁸ The Court ordered the State to submit a report on the compliance of this reparation by June 24, 2019.⁹

¹ Christopher Prieto, Author; Christian Flores, Editor; Ashley Payne, Senior IACHR Editor; Alexandra Reyna, Chief IACHR Editor; Cesare Romano, Faculty Advisor.

² Tarazona Arrieta et al. v. Peru, Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, Order of the Court, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. "Considering That," ¶ 3 (Nov. 14, 2017).

³ *Id.*

⁴ Tarazona Arrieta et al. v. Peru, Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, Order of the Court, Inter-Am Ct. H.R. "Considering That," ¶ 6 (Mar. 4, 2019).

⁵ *Id.* ¶ 9.

⁶ *Id.* ¶ 8.

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ *Id.* "Resolves," ¶ 3.