
Ticona Estrada et al. v. Bolivia

I. COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP ADDENDUM¹

September 1, 2016: By order of February 23, 2011, the Court found that the State had complied with the requirement to publish the judgment, compensate Mr. Renato Ticona Estrada's family, and propose a plan of action regarding Mr. Ticona Estrada's disappearance.² Then, the Court found that the State had fully complied with the order to build a house for Mr. Ticona Estrada's father and mother.³ However, the Court decided to keep the compliance monitoring proceedings open regarding the duty to bring Mr. Willy Valdivia Gumucio, Mr. Alfred Sanabria or Saravia, and all others involved in Mr. Ticona Estrada's disappearance, to justice.⁴ Finally, the Court ordered the State to continue searching for Mr. Ticona Estrada.⁵

¹ Aaron Kircher, Author; Katarina Shonafelt, Editor; Ashley Payne, Senior IACHR Editor; Alexandra Reyna, Chief IACHR Editor; Cesare Romano, Faculty Advisor.

² Ticona Estrada et al. v. Bolivia, Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, Order of the Court, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. "Declares That," ¶ 1 (Feb. 23, 2011).

³ Ticona Estrada et al. v. Bolivia, Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, Order of the Court, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. "Resolves," ¶ 1 (Sep. 1, 2016).

⁴ *Id.* ¶ 2; see Ticona Estrada et al. v. Bolivia, Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, Order of the Court, "Declares That," ¶ 3.

⁵ *Id.*